

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,613	08/22/2005	Stephen T. Cook	DSGZ 2 00025	9383
27885 FAY SHARPI	7590 01/05/2019 CLLP	0	EXAM	INER
1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
The Halle Buil Cleveland, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,613	COOK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBIN HYLTON	3781	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2009.
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.

Application Papers

9)□ Th∈	specification is ob	jected to by t	he Examine	er.
			. —	

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
- I see that the printing account in a print
- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attacl	nm	en	t(s)
5.7			

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Afformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-20-09.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under Ex Parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 20, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Asada et al. (JP 2002-19812). See the embodiment illustrated in Figs. 1-3 illustrating a first set of neck lugs and a second set of neck lugs, the first set being of a different configuration than the second set. The ring-like seal member within the rim of the cap (3) is seen in Fig. 1 as surrounding the container neck mouth opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/519,613

Art Unit: 3781

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada.
 Claims 4 and 5 are product-by-process claims.

Asada is silent regarding the formation of the container end with respect to the container neck portion. The examiner takes Official notice that it is known to form a container from two separate parts such as a neck portion and a bottom portion then joined together or as an integrally formed container bottom and neck and the selection of either process would have been within the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the container art.

Thus, with respect to claim 4, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to from the neck portion with a lower end having a rim for joining to the container body, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179. Doing so allows for utilizing the container neck and associated cap with a variety of container bodies capable of holding different fluid amounts.

With respect to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the container end integrally with the neck portion, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

6. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asada. Asada discloses the claimed invention except for the container being formed from metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the container of Asada of metal, since it has been held to be within Application/Control Number: 10/519,613 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

- 7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No	is being facsimiled to
The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date	shown below:
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
Signature
Date

^{10.} Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

Art Unit: 3781

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR orly. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- · Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

January 2, 2010

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781